U.S. Serial No. 10/691,819 – Barbara A. Tooman Response to April 30, 2007 Office Action Attorney Docket 783.001 – Art Unit 3634 Page 7 of 8

REMARKS

Initially, applicant wishes to acknowledge with appreciation the Examiner's indication of allowance of claims 1-10 and indication of allowable subject matter in claims 11-20.

Entry of the foregoing amendments is respectfully requested. Claims 11 and 19 have been amended. Claim 12 has been cancelled. Claims 1-11 and 13-20 are pending in the application. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of this application is respectfully requested in light of the foregoing amendments and the remarks that follow.

1. Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph

In the Office Action the Examiner has rejected claims 11-20 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's rejections to claims 11-20. More specifically, with this response applicant has amended each of claims 11 and 19 to specify that the first pivoting mechanism is secured between the outer frame and the middle frame and is operable to pivot the middle frame in a first direction, and that the second pivoting mechanism is secured between the middle frame and the inner frame and is operable to pivot the inner frame in a direction generally perpendicular to the first direction. In addition, claim 12 has been cancelled and claim 13 has been amended to change its dependency from claim 12 to claim 11. These amendments are not made in order to overcome any rejection based on the prior art, but are made merely to clarify the subject matter of claims 11 and 19 such that these amendments should not be construed as limiting the scope of claims 11 and 19 in any manner.

As a result, the subject matter of claims 11 and 19 is now definite such that applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejections to claims 11-20 and pass the application to allowance.

U.S. Serial No. 10/691,819 – Barbara A. Tooman Response to April 30, 2007 Office Action Attorney Docket 783.001 – Art Unit 3634 Page 8 of 8

CONCLUSION

It is submitted that claims 1-11 and 13-20 are in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 112 and each define patentable subject matter. A Notice of Allowance is therefore respectfully requested.

No fee is believed payable with this communication. Nevertheless, should the Examiner consider any fees to be payable in conjunction with this or any future communication, authorization is given to charge payment of such fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-1170.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone if it would help expedite the allowance of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Mathew E. Corr

Reg. No. 45,434

Date: July 25, 2007

Boyle, Fredrickson, Newholm, Stein & Gratz, S.C. 250 Plaza Building, Suite 1030 250 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, WI 53202 Telephone: (414) 225-9755

Facsimile: (414) 225-9753 Email: mec@boylefred.com

Customer No. 23598